

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,140	11/01/1999	CHOHEI OKUNO	A-21667	8324
26694	7590 02/04/2002			
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			EXAMINER .	
	P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998		UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652 DATE MAILED: 02/04/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

the state of the s	- r <u> </u>	<del></del>
	Application No. 09/431140	Applicant(s)  OKUND
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Underwoo	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	- ""	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE + hree	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minimult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101	11/01	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>		
Disposition of Claims		
x Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s)  -32 Of the above claim(s)   2-15, 17, 19-29	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s) 1, 16,18, 30,31,	32	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		requirement.
$\hfill \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	• , ,	• •
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun	•	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null ☐ received in this national stage application from the I	ntemational Bureau (PCT F	
	•	
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the I	•	•
□ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received:		Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	r No(s) □ I	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_5

Art Unit: 3652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 2-15, 17 and 19-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 4.

- 2. In the specification, page 1, line 18, "handle" should be -- handling --. Also the phrase "keeping parallel two lines of the line" in lines 14 and 15 of page 2 and line 4 on page 7 renders unclear the sentences in which they appear.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 16, 18, 30, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the meaning of the phrase "keeping parallel two lines of the line passing through...second link," is unclear rendering the claim indefinite. Also parallel relationship in line 2 and line 8 on page 50 in inaccurate. It appears the phrase --axial alignment-- should be used.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 16, 18, 30, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the

Application/Control Number: 09/431,140

Art Unit: 3652

inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. It is unclear how the arm links 973, 971, shafts 121 and 122 and the driving assist parallelogram linkage 690 are all interrelated. Part of the problem is that the specification calls for 691 to be integrally formed with and in coaxial relationship with 975 but also for the first end of 975 and the second end of 691 to be connected to 971 and the first end of 691 to be connected to the second end portion of 694 but drawing show 975 and 691 overlapping and not in coaxial alignment and 691 connected to 121 and not 694. The specification should clearly point out in a consistent matter how the

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Donald Underwood at telephone number 703-308-1113.

elements are interrelated to define an operative device.

Underwood/cw January 18, 2002

blund w Underwood 02/01/02 DONALD W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3